

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov.

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,078	04/13/2001	James T. Cole	GRI-01-008	3867
7590 03/11/2003				
MARK E. FEJER GAS TECHNOLOGY INSTITUTE 1700 SOUTH MOUNT PROSPECT ROAD DES PLAINES, IL 60018			EXAMINER	
			BECKER, DREW E	
DES PLAINES	5, IL 00018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	8
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2003	, 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	:					
Coffice Action Summary		09/835,078	COLE ET AL.			
	,	Examiner	Art Unit			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shell twith the correspondence address						
Period fo	r Reply	rears on ar cover she t with the	correspondenc address			
I HE I - External form of the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from CAUSE the application to become ARANDOME.	mely filed  ys will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication.			
1) <u></u>	Posnonsivo to communication/o) filed on 46.5	)				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 C</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)					
3)□	/	is action is non-final.				
ا_(د	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditi	ince except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quavle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits is			
•	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
11)□ T	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· ·			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armici.				
	•	priority under 25 LLS C \$ 110(a)	) (d) 07 (6)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<u> </u>	have been received				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prioriti	•	<del></del>			
	application from the International Bure te the attached detailed Office action for a list o	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(						
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

¿Application/Control Number: 09/835,078

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rhoads et al [Pat. No. 3,861,378].

Rhoads et al teach a baking oven (column 5, line 49) comprising a baking chamber (Figure 1, 15), first and second wall structures with openings (Figure 1, 39 & 41), a rotating valve assembly which can switch the direction of the airflow (Figure 3, #65, 67, 69, 71, 73; column 3, line 19 to column 4, line 8), a heat source (Figure 1, 63), and a food rack with support members (Figure 2, 47). Rhoads et al also teach a method of baking by rotating the valve motor continuously at one r.p.m. which causes the valve flaps to oscillate in a back and forth fashion (column 3, lines 44-49), passing heated air from the heat source through the rotating valve and spaced apart openings and into the cooking chamber, then rotating the valve assembly to pass heated air from the heat source to the valve assembly and through the second wall and into the baking chamber, then returning the air (Figure 2; column 3, line 19 to column 4, line 8).

, Application/Control Number: 09/835,078

Art Unit: 1761

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rhoads et al as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Konig [Pat. No. 4,779,604].

Rhoads et al teach the above mentioned components. Rhoads et al do not teach a burner. Konig teaches a baking device comprising a burner (Figure 1, 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the burner of Konig into the invention of Rhoads et al since both are directed to baking devices, since Rhoads et al already included a heat source (Figure 2, 63), and since burners were commonly used as heat sources in baking devices, as shown by Konig.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed December 16, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Rhoads et al does not teach a rotating valve. However, a rotating valve is clearly illustrated in Figure 3, wherein the lever arm (#73) rotates around an axis and alternately raises and lowers the flaps (#65 & 67).

Applicant also argues that the rotating valve of Rhoads et al is not "in communication" with both the heated air and return air. However, Figure 2 clearly

Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew E Becker Examiner Art Unit 1761

February 27, 2003

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER



Creation date: 09-10-2003

Indexing Officer: CDAVIS8 - CHLOE DAVIS

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09835078

Remarks:

Legal Date: 05-16-2003

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A	1
2	CLM	8
3	REM	6
4	LET.	2

3	KEM	6
4	LET.	2
Total n	umber of pages: 17	

Order of re-scan issued on .....